

Report: Survey Results

Dear Instructor Wright,

This email contains results for Intensive Basic College Math:

The global indicators are listed first, followed by the individual average values, consisting of the following scales:


- INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)
- INSTRUCTOR OVERALL
- STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)
- COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)
 OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)
 MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS
- MISCELLANEOUS QUESTIONS (Please mark one box within the scale per question)


In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed.

Duncan Wright

Intensive Basic College Math (MATH111I-002-FALL-2015) No. of responses = 16 No. of enrolled = 33 % returned = 48.48







1.2)	Cumulative GPR?								
	First Semest	er 🦳						73.3%	n=15
	3.50 - 4.0	00						20%	
	3.00 - 3.4	19						6.7%	
1.3)	Is this course required?	1							
	Ye	es 🦳						66.7%	n=15
	Yes, but I had a choic	се (20%	
	Ν	10						6.7%	
	Uncerta	in 🗌						6.7%	
— — 1.4)	Anticipated grade in this course?								
		A (50%	n=14
		в)			42.9%	
		с 🗌						7.1%	
2.	INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)								
2.1)	The instructor clearly stated the instructional objectives of the course.	0	0%	0%	6.7%	33.3%	60%	4	n=15 av.=4.53 dev.=0.64
			1	2	3	4	5		
2.2)	The instructor clearly stated the method by which your final grade would be determined.	0	0%	0%	6.7%	26.7%	66.7%	4	n=15 av.=4.6 dev.=0.63
			1 	2 0%	3 — — — 0%	4	5 		
2.3)	The instructor clearly explained any special requirements of attendance which differ from the attendance policy of the University.	0	1	2	3	33.3% H	66.7%	4	n=15 av.=4.67 dev.=0.49
			0%	 0%	 0%	20%	 80%		
2.4)	The instructor clearly graded and returned the student's written work (e.g., examinations and papers) in a timely manner.	0	1	2	3	4	5	4	n=15 av.=4.8 dev.=0.41
2.5)	The instructor met the class regularly and at the	0	0%	0%	0%	13.3%	86.7%	4	n=15
	scheduled times.								av.=4.87 dev.=0.35
			0%	2 0%	3 — — — 0%	4 21.4%	5 — — — — 78.6%		
2.6)	The instructor scheduled a reasonable number of office hours per week.	0	1	2	3	4	5	4	n=14 av.=4.79 dev.=0.43
2.7)	Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom. (In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, e-mail, fax and other means)	0	0%	0%	6.7%	26.7%	66.7%	4	n=15 av.=4.6 dev.=0.63

^{2.8)} If web sites, Blackboard, or other Internet resources were a part of this course, to what extent did they enhance or detract from your learning experience in the course.	0	6.7%	0%	20%	40%	33.3%	4	n=15 av.=3.93 dev.=1.1
^{2.9)} displays a clear understanding of the subject matter and course topics.	0	0%	0%	6.7%	13.3%	80% 5	4	n=15 av.=4.73 dev.=0.59
^{2.10)} motivates me to learn the subject.	0	0%	6.7%	0%	33.3%	60%	4	n=15 av.=4.47 dev.=0.83
^{2.11)} gives clear and helpful responses to student questions.	0	0%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%	4	n=14 av.=4.43 dev.=0.94
^{2.12)} is concerned that students learn the material.	0	0%	0%	6.7%	20%	73.3%	4	n=15 av.=4.67 dev.=0.62
^{2.13)} treats students with respect.	0	0%	<u>0%</u> 2	6.7%	6.7%	86.7% 1 5	4	n=15 av.=4.8 dev.=0.56
^{2.14)} grades fairly.	0	0%	0%	0%	14.3%	85.7% 1 5	4	n=14 av.=4.86 dev.=0.36
^{2.15)} well-utilized class time, either by lecture, group work, student presentations or other activities.	0	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	4	n=15 av.=4.8 dev.=0.41
3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL								
^{3.1)} OVERALL, considering all aspects, I rate the overall performance of my instructor as:	0	0%	0%	6.7%	26.7%	66.7% 66.7% 5	4	n=15 av.=4.6 dev.=0.63
4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)								
 ^{4.1)} Gaining factual knowledge of this subject (terminology, methods, skills). 	0	0%	0%	6.3%	50%	43.8%	4	n=16 av.=4.38 dev.=0.62
 ^{4.2)} Learning fundamental principles, theories or generalizations. 	0	0%	0%	6.7%	40%	53.3%	4	n=15 av.=4.47 dev.=0.64

02/02/2016



8. MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS 0% 50% 0% 0% 50% 8.1) Miscellaneous #1 n=2 0 4 av.=4 dev.=1.41 3 2 5 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 8.2) Miscellaneous #2 n=2 av.=4 0 4 dev.=1.41 3 5 2 Δ 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 8.3) Miscellaneous #3 n=2 0 4 н av.=4 dev.=1.41 2 3 4 5 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 8.4) Miscellaneous #4 n=2 0 4 av.=4 dev.=1.41 2 3 5 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 8.5) Miscellaneous #5 n=2 0 4 н av.=4 dev.=1.41 9. MISCELLANEOUS QUESTIONS (Please mark one box within the scale per question) 63.6% 0% 0% 9.1% 27.3% 9.1) I was very satisfied with the opportunities to interact with other students in the class. n=11 av.=4.55 0 4 dev.=0.69 2 3 5 Δ 0% 9.1% 0% 18.2% 72.7% 9.2) I was very satisfied with the opportunities to interact n=11 0 4 with the professor in this course. av.=4.55 dev.=0.93 2 3 Δ 5 1 0% 0% 9.1% 27.3% 63.6% 9.3) I was very satisfied with the promptness of the n=11 0 4 feedback I received in this course. av.=4.55 dev.=0.69 2 3 4 5 0% 18.2% 9.1% 36.4% 36.4% 9.4) I was very satisfied with the technology support n=11 0 4 av.=3.91 required in this course. dev.=1.14 3 2 4 5 10% 0% 0% 40% 50% 9.5) I was very satisfied with the opportunities to access n=10 0 4 av.=4.2 dev.=1.23 library resources and library support services for this course.

3

Profile

Subunit:

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Duncan Wright Intensive Basic College Math

Math TA

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)


- ^{2.1)} The instructor clearly stated the instructional objectives of the course.
- ^{2.2)} The instructor clearly stated the method by which your final grade would be determined.
- 2.3) The instructor clearly explained any special requirements of attendance which differ from the attendance policy of the University.
- 2.4) The instructor clearly graded and returned the student's written work (e.g., examinations and papers) in a timely manner.
- ^{2.5)} The instructor met the class regularly and at the scheduled times.
- ^{2.6)} The instructor scheduled a reasonable number of office hours per week.
- 2.7) Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom. (In selecting your rating, consider
- 2.8) If web sites, Blackboard, or other Internet resources were a part of this course, to what extent did they enhance or detract from your
- ^{2.9)} displays a clear understanding of the subject matter and course topics.
- ^{2.10)} motivates me to learn the subject.
- ^{2.11)} gives clear and helpful responses to student questions.
- $^{2.12)}\,$ is concerned that students learn the material.
- ^{2.13)} treats students with respect.
- ^{2.14)} grades fairly.
- 2.15) well-utilized class time, either by lecture, group work, student presentations or other activities.

3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL

^{3.1)} OVERALL, considering all aspects, I rate the overall performance of my instructor as:

4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)

^{4.1)} Gaining factual knowledge of this subject (terminology, methods, skills).



0

0

av.=4.60 md=5.00 dev.=0.63

av.=4.38 md=4.00 dev.=0.62

n=15


n=16

Duncan Wright, Intensive Basic College Math

4.2)	Learning fundamental principles, theories or generalizations.	0			.	4	n=15	av.=4.47 md=5.00 dev.=0.64		
4.3)	Improving my oral and written communication skills.	0				4	n=15	av.=4.33 md=4.00 dev.=0.72		
4.4)	decision making, rational thinking and problem	0			\rightarrow	4	n=15	av.=4.60 md=5.00 dev.=0.51		
4.5)	solving. OVERALL, I rate the progress I have made in this course as compared with others I have taken as:	0			<u>i</u>	4	n=15	av.=4.40 md=4.00 dev.=0.63		
5.	COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)									
5.1)	Exams assess and reflect course material accurately.	0				4	n=15	av.=4.53 md=5.00 dev.=0.64		
5.2)	Specified objectives for this course were achieved.	0				4	n=15	av.=4.40 md=5.00 dev.=0.74		
5.3)	Text was clear, readable and useful.	0				4	n=15	av.=4.53 md=5.00 dev.=0.83		
5.4)	The classroom atmosphere was conducive to free expression of ideas and questioning.	0			-	4	n=14	av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.94		
5.5)	The departmental prerequisite courses were adequate preparation for this course.	0				4	n=15	av.=4.53 md=5.00 dev.=0.74		
6.	OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART	IV)								
6.1)	OVERALL, considering all of the above aspects, I rate this course as:	0				4	n=15	av.=4.47 md=5.00 dev.=0.74		
7.	COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)									
7.1)	Related to my other courses, the material covered was:	0		+		4	n=14	av.=3.00 md=3.00 dev.=0.55		
7.2)	Related to my other courses, the workload was:	0				4	n=15	av.=2.93 md=3.00 dev.=0.70		
8. MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS										
		I	I	1	I	I				
8.1)	Miscellaneous #1	0				4	n=2	av.=4.00 md=4.00 dev.=1.41		
8.2)	Miscellaneous #2	0				4	n=2	av.=4.00 md=4.00 dev.=1.41		
8.3)	Miscellaneous #3	0				4	n=2	av.=4.00 md=4.00 dev.=1.41		
8.4)	Miscellaneous #4	0				4	n=2	av.=4.00 md=4.00 dev.=1.41		
8.5)	Miscellaneous #5	0				4	n=2	av.=4.00 md=4.00 dev.=1.41		
9.	MISCELLANEOUS QUESTIONS (Please	mark one	e box wit	hin the	scale p	per question)				
9.1)	I was very satisfied with the opportunities to interact with other students in the class.	0			-	4	n=11	av.=4.55 md=5.00 dev.=0.69		
02/0	02/2016	(Class Climat	e evaluatio	n			Page 7		

Duncan Wright, Intensive Basic College Math

- 9.2) I was very satisfied with the opportunities to interact with the professor in this course.
- ^{9.3)} I was very satisfied with the promptness of the feedback I received in this course.
- ^{9.4)} I was very satisfied with the technology support required in this course.
- 9.5) I was very satisfied with the opportunities to access library resources and library support services for this course.




Profile


Subunit:

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Duncan Wright

Math TA

Intensive Basic College Math





It's fun to leafn.

A/N

it meets yx weekly, has online help.

(-) reloassign Positive: It is intensive Negative: It moves quickly In-depth teching, thorough, (pos meets 40 mgs a veek (neg) Online Hu Sucks